PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	DRITY							
To:			PCT						
	see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)						
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)						
	licant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION					
			See paragraph 2 below	w					
PC	rnational application No. T/JP2004/008185	International filing date (c 04.06.2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04.06.2003						
F02	national Patent Classification (IPC) or t 2D41/00, F02D13/02	poth national classification	and IPC						
	icant YOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI K	AISHA							
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Basis of the opinion									
				,					
Name	and mailing address of the ISA:								

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Van der Staay, F

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4942



WRITTEN OPINION COTHE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY



International application No. PCT/JP2004/008185

_							
_	Bo	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		ıaı	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
	[]	a sequence listing				
	[⊐	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	[]	in written format				
	[in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	[contained in the international application as filed.				
	[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[כ	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:						

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Box	No. II	Priority					
1.	The following document has not been furnished:							
		□ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).						
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	Add	itional o	bservations, if neces	sary:				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or							
	indu	ıstrlai a	pplicability; citation	ns and o	explanatio	ons supporting such statement		
1.	. Statement							
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes:	Claims	1-7		
				No:	Claims			
	Inve	ntive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-7		
				No:	Claims	.,		
	Indu	strial ar	oplicability (IA)	Yes.	Claims	1-7		
			pulating (ii i)	No:	Claims	1-7		
2.	2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet							
		·						
-	Box	No. VI	Certain document	s cited				
Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or					0.40)			
					u. 1u)			
_								
2.	Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)							

see form 210



PCT/JP2004/008185

Re Item V.

- The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 D1: EP 0 737 799 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 16 October 1996 (1996-10-16)
- Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):
 An apparatus for controlling valve actuation in internal combustion engines, which controls the valve actuation for intake and exhaust valve to be corresponding predetermined target valve actuation, with
 a first computation means wherein a target for a first valve and a target overlap
 - amount is computed, and wherein a second target valve actuation is computed based on the computed targets of the first valve and the target valve overlap or in an alternative embodiment a second computation means, where the target valve actuations of intake and exhaust valve are computed (column 25, lines 3-10).
- 2.1 From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that a selection means is introduced, that "... selects ... one of the computation result of the first computation means and the computation result of the second means according to the operation state of the engine". The second computation means is selected when the load is above a predetermined value.
- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT) The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as: The provision of an optimal transient control of a combustion engine in every engine operation state.
- 2.3 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
 - A selection means enabling to select between either a direct control of the valve overlap or the independent control of both valve timings is not known from any of the other cited documents.

- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 4, 5 und 7
- 3.1 The subject-matter of claims 2, 4, 5 and 7 is also inventive (Article 33(3) PCT) as these claims recite also two computation means and a selection means, as discussed in paragraph 2.1.
- 4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3 and 6
- 4.1 Both dependent claims are inventive (Article 33(3) PCT) as well, as they depend on novel independent claims 2 and 5.
- 5 CONCISENESS
- 5.1 Claims 1,2,4,5 and 7 have been drafted as separate independent claims in the same category. This gives rise to a lack of conciseness and a failure to meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.